

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILIT	NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/531,774	04/	19/2005	Wilhelm Pinkernell	PINKERNELL, W. 1 PCT	6040
25889	7590	11/21/2005	EXAMINER		NER
WILLIAM			OLSON, LARS A		
COLLARD &	•		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ROSLYN, N	IY 11576		3617		

DATE MAILED: 11/21/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		1	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary			10/531,774	PINKERNELL, WILHELM				
			Examiner	Art Unit				
		L	ars A. Olson	3617				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1) 🗌 F	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on						
	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.							
3)□ S	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is							
C	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4) 🛛 C	4) Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) 🗌 🤇	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
•	Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected.							
8)[_] (Claim(s) are subject to restriction	on and/or e	lection requirement.					
Applicatio	n Papers							
9)∐ T	he specification is objected to by the	Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>19 April 2005</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:								
1	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachment(s	s)							
1) Notice	(PTO-413)							
	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC		Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate atent Application (PTO-152)				
	tion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT No(s)/Mail Date <u>04192005</u> .	10/28/08)	6) Other:	atom Application (1 10-102)				

DETAILED ACTION

1. A preliminary amendment was received from the applicant on April 19, 2005.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 4. A broad range or limitation together with a narrow range or limitation that falls within the broad range or limitation (in the same claim) is considered indefinite, since the resulting claim does not clearly set forth the metes and bounds of the patent protection desired. See MPEP § 2173.05(c). Note the explanation given by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences in *Ex parte Wu*, 10 USPQ2d 2031, 2033 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1989), as to where broad language is followed by "such as" and then narrow language. The Board stated that this can render a claim indefinite by raising a question or doubt as to whether the feature introduced by such language is (a) merely exemplary of the remainder of the claim, and therefore not required, or (b) a required feature of the claims. Note also, for example, the decisions of *Ex parte Steigewald*, 131 USPQ 74 (Bd. App. 1961); *Ex parte Hall*, 83 USPQ 38 (Bd. App. 1948); and *Ex parte Hasche*, 86 USPQ 481 (Bd. App. 1949). In the present instance, claim 1 recites the

Art Unit: 3617

broad recitation "Ocean-going vessel", and the claim also recites "particularly a passenger ship" which is the narrower statement of the range/limitation.

5. Regarding claim 1, the phrase "or the like" in line 10 renders the claim(s) indefinite because the claim(s) include(s) elements not actually disclosed (those encompassed by "or the like"), thereby rendering the scope of the claim(s) unascertainable. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 7. Claims 1-3 and 6-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Podesta (US 4,158,416).

Podesta discloses the same vessel as claimed, as shown in Figures 1-12, that is comprised of a hull, defined as Part #1, with a structural unit in the form of an overhang bow segment, defined as Part #18, located above the waterline of said vessel, where said overhang bow segment is capable of being moved between open and closed positions, as shown in Figure 4. Hydraulic cylinders, defined as Parts #33 and 33a, are provided for moving said structural unit between said open and closed positions.

Locking means, defined as Parts #21 and 21a, are also provided for securing said structural unit in said closed position.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. Claims 4 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Podesta in view of Jeswine (US 5,642,686).

Podesta, as set forth above, discloses all of the features claimed except for the use of a hull with a transverse bulkhead that closes off said hull behind a folding bow segment.

Jeswine discloses a vessel, as shown in Figures 1-10, that includes a folding bow segment, defined as Part #40, that is pivotally attached to a hull, defined as Part #16, where said hull has a transverse bulkhead, defined as Part #30, that faces said bow segment when said bow segment is in a closed position, as shown in Figure 5, and closes off said hull when said bow segment is in an open or folded position, as shown in Figure 6.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention, to utilize a transverse bulkhead behind a folding bow segment, as taught by Jeswine, in combination with the vessel as disclosed by Podesta for the purpose of providing a vessel having a folding bow segment and a hull that remains closed when said folding bow segment is in either an open or a closed position in order to allow said vessel to have optional length for carrying additional cargo or passengers.

Application/Control Number: 10/531,774

Art Unit: 3617

Conclusion

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Hoyt (US 6,647,917) discloses a vessel with a pivotable bowsprit. Friedrich (US 5,222,456) discloses a vessel with a foldable bow platform. Hultgren (US 4,354,447) discloses a vessel with an extendable bowsprit.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be directed to Exr. Lars Olson whose telephone number is (571) 272-6685.

lo

November 14, 2005

LARS A. OLSON PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 5

200 / 100 /